

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/046,121	03/20/1998	BARBARA A. HALL	EN998028	1830	
75	90 06/24/2002		•		
KEVIN P RADIGAN HESLIN & ROTHENBERG 5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE			EXAMINER		
			WONG, ALLEN C		
ALBANY, NY 122035160			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613	2613	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/046,121	HALL ET AL.
7.427. <b>6</b> 27. <b>7</b> 7.724.27	Examiner	Art Unit
	Allen Wong	2613
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic  1) a timely filed amendment whic  al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	ation. A proper reply to a
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forthe later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under, 37 CF of extension and the corresponding among the shortened statutory period for reply	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	CFR 1.704(b).	
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li></ol>	pecause:	
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	••	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	finally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>6,8,10,14,19,27,29 and 30</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7,9,12,13,15-18,20-26,28 and</u>	<u>1 31-38</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
0. Other:		(2) Willy
	SUPERV	CHRIS KELLEY VISORY PATENT EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600
Part of Paper No. 15

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: all of the limitations of the claims have been addressed in the previous Office Action, paper No. 13.